

Prediction of mango firmness by near infrared spectroscopy tandem with machine learning

Sri Agustina¹, Ramayanty Bulan², Agustami Sitorus^{3,4}

¹Department of Agroindustry, Polytechnic of Indonesia Venezuela, Banda Aceh, Indonesia

²Department of Agricultural Engineering, Faculty of Agriculture, Syiah Kuala University, Banda Aceh, Indonesia

³Department of Agricultural Engineering, King Mongkut's Institute of Technology Ladkrabang, Bangkok, Thailand

⁴Research Center for Appropriate Technology, National Research and Innovation Agency (BRIN), Subang, Indonesia

Article Info

Article history:

Received May 12, 2022

Revised Jul 29, 2022

Accepted Sep 15, 2022

Keywords:

Agro-product

Chemometrics

Firmness

Near infrared

Physical properties

ABSTRACT

The firmness of the mango fruit is one of the internal physical properties that can show its quality. Unfortunately, non-destructive methods to measure this are not yet available. In the current study, we develop a calibration model using near infrared spectroscopy to predict the physical properties (firmness) of the mango cultivar Arumanis (*Mangifera indica* cv. Arumanis) via machine learning. Spectral data were acquired using the fourier transform near-infrared (FTNIR) benchtop with a wavelength range of 1000 to 2500 nm. Multivariate spectra analysis based on machine learning, including principal component regression (PCR), partial least squares regression (PLSR), and support vector machine regression (SVMR), was utilized and compared to estimate the firmness of fresh mangos. The results obtained show that the prediction of machine learning by PLSR is better than that of SVMR and PCR for the prediction of mango firmness. The coefficient correlation of calibration (rc) and validation (rcv), the root means square error of calibration (RMSE-C) and validation (RMSE-CV), and the ratio of prediction to deviation (RPD) were 0.941, 0.382 kgf, 0.920, 0.472 kgf, and 2.556, respectively. The general results satisfactorily indicate that near infrared spectroscopy technology integrated with an appropriate machine learning algorithm has optimistic results in determining the firmness of mango non-destructively.

This is an open access article under the [CC BY-SA](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/) license.



Corresponding Author:

Ramayanty Bulan

Department of Agricultural Engineering, Faculty of Agriculture, Syiah Kuala University

Banda Aceh, 23111, Indonesia

Email: ramayantibulan@gmail.com

1. INTRODUCTION

The freshness of mangoes after harvest is highly correlated with internal physical properties, especially firmness, and is also a major quality attribute of mango. The firmness of the mango fruit will decrease with the postharvest storage period and the conditions on product quality. In general, the texture of the fruit will show firmness correlated with changes in the structure of the cell wall of the fruit [1]–[4]. Therefore, internal physical properties such as the firmness of the mango are the best parameters that indicate ripeness. This will increase the value of the mango product itself when it is sold to consumers. Therefore, the firmness of the product should be known early from the post-harvest, shipping, and even retail stages. Unfortunately, the firmness measurement still has to be done destructively. As a result, measurements can only be carried out by sampling from many batches and sometimes do not represent satisfactory

measurement results for each mango fruit. Therefore, nondestructive determination of parameters such as the firmness of mango fruit is really crucial for discovery.

Non-destructive evaluation of the internal physical properties is important to sort and grade the quality of agricultural products. One of the technologies that has been studied for the evaluation of agricultural products is the use of near infrared spectroscopy. The application of near infrared spectroscopy, which is integrated with chemometrics, offers convenience to evaluate the internal properties of agricultural products because of its quickness, accuracy, and non-destructive method. Several research results have also discussed and highlighted this, such as for mango with some cultivars. Mangoes with Kent cultivar have been evaluated for their internal properties using near infrared spectroscopy to predict vitamin C and total acidity [5]–[7]. Rivera, *et al.* [8] conducted a study using the Manila mango cultivar to evaluate the mechanical damage that occurred to the mango using near infrared spectroscopy. Purwanto, *et al.* [9] evaluated the soluble solid and acidity of the Gedong Gincu cultivar mango using near-infrared spectroscopy. dos Santos Neto, *et al.* [10] reported using near-infrared spectroscopy to determine the maturity of Palmer mango cultivars Palmer. Unfortunately, studies related to internal physical properties, especially firmness of Arumanis mango fruit cultivars using near-infrared spectroscopy, have not been reported to date.

Chemometrics is used to find the relationship between the predictor (wavelength near-infrared spectral data) and the response, such as mechanical or chemical properties of the sample. This method involves the disciplines of mathematics and statistics, which are mixed into an algorithm to find the relationship. The most popular algorithms for processing near-infrared spectral data are PCR and PLSR [11]–[13]. Both algorithms are reported to have excellent performance in building spectral data calibration models if there is a linear response between the predictor and the parameter constituents of the sample. However, when the predictor influences the response, it will indirectly lead to nonlinear spectral data, so machine learning-based algorithms must overcome this. Popular machine learning algorithms processing near-infrared spectral data include SVMR, ANN, and CNN [14]–[16].

This study produces a near infrared spectroscopy calibrations model to accurately estimate the physical properties of mango (firmness), which can work as reliably as the standard wet laboratory method. In the present work, two linear approaches and one nonlinear approach (based on a machine learning algorithm) were used and compared to obtain the best model.

2. METHOD

A total of 79 mango cultivars of Arumanis were obtained from farmers in Indramayu, Indonesia. Mangoes are obtained at 84 to 105 days after flowering. Additionally, mangoes are stored between 3 and 9 days under ambient temperature conditions. This variation in harvest age and storage range was carried out to obtain variations in the firmness of mango fruit when measured. In total, 237 data are obtained from the variation of treatment. The mango fruit was scanned to obtain spectral data, and then a firmness test was carried out at a nearby time.

The near infrared spectra were acquired with NIRFlex N-500 (fiber optic solid), integrated with the NIRCAl 5.2 database. The spectra ranged from 1000 to 2500 nm with a scanning resolution of 0.4 nm. Each spectrum was averaged from 32 reflectance measurements. All measurements were taken at room temperature (25° C). Spectra for each mango were scanned at the bottom, middle, and top sides.

The reference firmness of mango was taken directly after acquisition of spectra. Each mango was tested for firmness at the point (bottom side, middle and top side) of near-infrared scanning. The firmness of the samples was measured on the basis of the resistance of the fruit to the probe of a rheometer with a diameter of 5 mm. Measurements were made using a rheometer (CR-300). The firmness measurement is established with a maximum load of 10 kg, a compression depth of 10 mm, and a load decrease speed of 60 mm/minute. The unit of measurement of firmness in this study is kg-force (kgf).

Raw near infrared spectra data were treated using several techniques to achieve reliability. The treatment of near-infrared spectral data used in this study aims to transform the data to make them suitable for an analysis whose activities include normalization, scaling, transformations, and removal of any outliers in the data [17]. Treatment techniques include mean normalization, multiplicative scatter correction, standard normal variate, first derivative Savitzky–Golay, and second derivative Savitzky–Golay.

Using enhanced spectral data, calibration models based on near infrared spectra were established for firmness prediction. Three machine learning prediction algorithms were compared, including principal component regression (PCR), partial least squares regression (PLSR), and support vector machine regression (SVMR). In this study, 237 samples were divided into calibration datasets containing 166 samples and 71 samples for the validation samples test.

The performance of the model was analyzed based on the calibration and validation results according to the coefficient correlation of calibration (r_c) and validation (r_{cv}), the root means a square error of calibration (RMSE-C) and cross-validation (RMSE-CV) [18]–[21]. Furthermore, the prediction-to-deviation

ratio (RPD) was evaluated [22]–[24]. This study determined the best firmness prediction model for whole mangoes that focused on the RPD value. The chemometric analysis in this study was performed using Unscrambler software (X10.1).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Descriptive statistics

Box plots that illustrate the distribution of laboratory reference values for mango firmness for near-infrared spectroscopy calibration and validation data sets are presented in Figure 1. The reference firmness of mangoes for the calibration data set is 166 with a range of 0.24 to 4.12 kgf (mean= 1.5 kgf, SD= 1.13 kgf). The validation of the reference firmness data set is 71 with values ranging from 0.31 to 4.42 kgf (mean= 1.40 kgf, SD= 1.21 kgf). The range of reference values was not as large for the calibration set compared to the validation set.




Figure 1. Summary of firmness distributions within near infrared spectroscopy calibration and validation datasets

3.2. Characteristics of near infrared spectral data

The near-infrared raw spectra of Arumanis mango with diffuse reflectance ($\log 1/R$) are depicted in Figure 2. These spectral lines indicate the existence of organic materials because they are formed when molecular bonds (O–H, C–H–O, C–O) interact with incoming radiation. These links are susceptible to fluctuations in vibrational energy, resulting in the formation of two distinct vibration patterns, stretch vibration and bend vibration. As a result of its tone combination and initial overtone, the near infrared spectral of mango in this study exhibits a diffuse reflectance range of O–H molecular bonds at wavelengths of 1418–1440 nm and 1920 nm. Furthermore, the absorption bands between 2120 and 2260 nm are associated with CHO compounds such as sugar and vitamin C. Organic acids are connected with additional absorption bands at around 1400, 1800, and 2100 nm.




Figure 2. Near infrared raw spectra of mango Arumanis

3.3. Results comparison of near infrared spectral calibration analysis

3.3.1. Calibration model using PCR algorithms

First, PCR was evaluated as the first linear method. The PCR method has been used for a long time in chemometric analysis, although it does not always give the best results; in the author's opinion, it is worth trying. Based on the results of the PCR calibration, the second derivative as spectral treatments provided optimum results for the calibration and validation of the firmness as shows in Table 1. It can be seen that the model calibration using PCR with raw data can give an RPD of 1.675 and will increase if spectral treatments are carried out before it reaches 2.020. Some diffuse reflectance maxima were observed in the loadings as shown in Figure 3, region of 1801 and 1950 nm associated with water. Peaks in the NIR range were observed at 1950 nm, related to first overtone O–H and N–H stretching; 1801 corresponding to first overtone C–H stretching, and 2304 influenced by combination stretching and banding the band C–H [25]. Good predictive performance occurs when the RPD increases as the prediction error decreases (standard deviation). As described in some studies, RPD in the range between 1.5 and 2 is included in the moderate model category, and 2 to 2.5 is included in the good model category [26]–[29].

Table 1. Near infrared spectroscopy calibration spectral using PCR algorithms

| Spectral treatments | Calibration | | Validation | | RPD |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| | r | RMSE-C | r | RMSE-CV | |
| Raw | 0.775 | 0.713 | 0.802 | 0.720 | 1.675 |
| Mean Normalization | 0.663 | 0.845 | 0.750 | 0.797 | 1.513 |
| Multiplicative scatter correction | 0.758 | 0.736 | 0.800 | 0.723 | 1.666 |
| Standard normal variate | 0.759 | 0.735 | 0.798 | 0.726 | 1.660 |
| First derivative | 0.829 | 0.631 | 0.858 | 0.619 | 1.947 |
| Second derivative | 0.828 | 0.632 | 0.869 | 0.597 | 2.020 |




Figure 3. Loadings for the 2nd derivative treatments followed by PCR algorithm

The scatter plot between the firmness of the standard laboratory measurement (references) and the firmness predicted by the PCR method is shown in Figure 4. Using the best PCR method, the calibration model as shown in Figure 4(a) was built with 167 samples with an RMSE-C of 0.632 kgf. The correlation coefficient between the results of laboratory measurements and their predictions is 0.828. When this calibration model was validated with 71 samples as shown in Figure 4(b), it was found that the RMSE decreased to 0.597 kgf. At the same time, the correlation coefficient between the results of laboratory measurements and their predictions increased to 0.869. Therefore, in this study, we suggest using the second derivative spectra treatment method to determine the firmness prediction models of mango Arumanis using the PCR method.

3.3.2. Calibration model using PLSR algorithms

The second multivariate spectra analysis used in this study is (PLSR). This method is widely used and is always reported to provide the best performance in constructing predictions from near infrared spectra data. This is because PLSR can negotiate with irrelevant and noisy variable issues. However, PLSR also cannot work well, especially in the case of spectral data, as if the number of samples is much smaller than the number of variables. In addition, the selection of spectral treatments is also very important to improve the performance of the built model. The performance of the PLSR calibration model in the use of several spectral treatments is presented in Table 2. It can be seen that, RPD generated from the near infrared spectral

combined with PLSR has been able to produce satisfactory performance ($RPD > 2$) [30]–[32]. Moreover, applying spectral treatments as first derivatives on raw spectral data can increase the RPD to greater than 2.5. RPD with a category greater than 2.5, according to the research results of Murphy, *et al.* [28], is included in the category of a good model for prediction. The loadings resulting from PLSR as shown in Figure 5 were used to identify the most important wavelengths influencing the analyzed samples. A strong effect in the 1630, 1667, 1810, 2145, and 2316 could be observed. Peaks in the NIR range were observed at 1630 and 1667 nm related to first overtone C–H stretching, and 2145 and 2316 were influenced by combination stretching and banding of the band C–H and N–H [25].




Figure 4. The best PCR regression results to predict mango firmness, (a) calibration model and (b) cross-validation model

Table 2. Near infrared spectroscopy calibration spectral using PLSR algorithms

| Spectral treatments | Calibration | | Validation | | RPD |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| | r | RMSE-C | r | RMSE-CV | |
| Raw | 0.832 | 0.626 | 0.877 | 0.579 | 2.082 |
| Mean Normalization | 0.837 | 0.617 | 0.867 | 0.600 | 2.008 |
| Multiplicative scatter correction | 0.853 | 0.589 | 0.876 | 0.581 | 2.075 |
| Standard normal variate | 0.851 | 0.594 | 0.867 | 0.601 | 2.007 |
| 1st derivative | 0.941 | 0.382 | 0.920 | 0.472 | 2.556 |
| 2 nd derivative | 0.881 | 0.533 | 0.885 | 0.561 | 2.150 |




Figure 5. Loadings for the 1st derivative treatments followed by PLSR algorithm

The scatter plot between the firmness of standard laboratory measurement (references) and the firmness predicted by the PLSR method is shown in Figure 6. The calibration model using the best PLSR method was built with 167 samples with an RMSE-C of 0.382 kgf as shown in Figure 6(a). The correlation coefficient between the results of laboratory measurements and their predictions is 0.941. When this

calibration model was validated with 71 samples, it was found that the RMSE increased to 0.472 kgf as shown in Figure 6(b). At the same time, the correlation coefficient between the results of laboratory measurements and their predictions decreased to 0.920. Therefore, in this study, we suggest using the first derivative spectra treatment method to determine the firmness prediction models of mango Arumanis using the PLSR method.




Figure 6. The best regression results of PLSR to predict mango firmness, (a) calibration model and (b) cross-validation model

3.3.3. Calibration model using SVMR algorithms

The nonlinear regression of multivariate spectral analysis used in this study is SVMR. The SVMR method is currently very popularly used to compare other multivariate spectra analysis methods, which are only based on linear regression. It should be noted that several research reports state that the relationship between near infrared spectra and targeted constituents to be modeled is not always linear. The origin of this non-linearity may vary widely and is difficult to identify. Also, the source of nonlinearity between near infrared spectral data and target constituents cannot be corrected by the spectral treatments and require special nonlinear adjustment method processes. This means that standard linear regression techniques such as PCR and PLSR are not always the best solution. The performance of the SVMR calibration model in the use of several spectral treatments is presented in Table 3. It can be seen that the RPD generated from the near infrared spectral combined with SVMR can produce various performances ($1 < RPD < 2$). However, applying spectral treatments in the form of first derivatives on raw spectral data can increase the RPD to almost 2. The RPD achieved by SVMR in this study was included in the moderate performance category compared to the study results by Murphy, *et al.* [28].

Table 3. Near infrared spectroscopy calibration spectral using SVMR algorithms

| Spectral treatments | Calibration | | Validation | | RPD |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|--------|------------|---------|-------|
| | r | RMSE-C | r | RMSE-CV | |
| Raw | 0.663 | 0.884 | 0.627 | 0.393 | 1.284 |
| Mean Normalization | 0.718 | 0.894 | 0.742 | 0.550 | 1.490 |
| Multiplicative scatter correction | 0.794 | 0.745 | 0.752 | 0.566 | 1.517 |
| Standard normal variate | 0.794 | 0.744 | 0.752 | 0.565 | 1.517 |
| 1 st derivative | 0.920 | 0.468 | 0.863 | 0.745 | 1.980 |
| 2 nd derivative | 0.934 | 0.455 | 0.824 | 0.679 | 1.765 |

The scatter plot between the firmness of standard laboratory measurement (references) and the firmness predicted by the SVMR method is shown in Figure 7. The calibration model using the best SVMR method was built with 167 samples with an RMSE-C of 0.468 kgf as shown in Figure 7(a). The correlation coefficient between the results of laboratory measurements and their predictions is 0.920. When this calibration model was validated with 71 samples, it was found that the RMSE decreased to 0.745 kgf as shown in Figure 7(b). At the same time, the correlation coefficient between the results of laboratory measurements and their predictions decreased to 0.863. Therefore, in this study, we suggest using the first derivative spectra treatment method to determine the firmness prediction models of mango Arumanis using the SVMR method.




Figure 7. The best regression results of SVMR to predict mango firmness, (a) calibration model and (b) cross-validation model

3.4. The best near infrared spectroscopy calibration spectral

The results of the comparison of the performance of the two linear approaches (PCR and PLSR) and one non-linear approach (SVMR) to predict the firmness of mango Arumanis are presented in Figure 8. Based on RMSE-C, RMSE-CV, and RPD, we can express both linear and nonlinear multivariate spectra analysis can predict the firmness of mango Arumanis with a moderate to good range. In this current study, we may organize the multivariate spectral analysis based on machine learning algorithm order based on its error: PLSR < SVMR < PCR. In this study, the performance of linear multivariate spectra analysis (PLSR) was better than that of nonlinear multivariate spectra analysis (SVMR). In another case, Cardoso and Poppi [33] reported that SVMR performed better than PLSR in handling near infrared data from commercial green tea blends. However, in the research results of Wang, *et al.* [19] found that near infrared spectroscopy combined with several multivariate spectra analyses to predict the firmness of pears obtained RPD in the range of 1.23 to 1.60.




Figure 8. Comparison of the performance of three machine learning algorithm for the prediction firmness of mango

4. CONCLUSION

Near infrared spectroscopy calibration models were established to predict the physical properties of mango (firmness) using several machine learning algorithms. The findings of this study outline that near-infrared spectroscopy can predict the firmness of the mango cultivar Arumanis with a moderate to good degree of precision using PCR, PLSR, and SVMR ($r_c = 0.828-0.941$, $r_{cv} = 0.863-0.920$, RMSE-C= 0.382-0.632 kgf, RMSE-CV= 0.472-0.597 kgf, RPD= 1.980-2.556). The calibration model developed in this investigation will allow for a more timely determination of the firmness of mangoes, where the firmness of mangoes is one of the parameters determining the quality of mangoes. The developed calibration model is

expected to be used by researchers and farmers to make the right decisions in the post-harvest handling of mangoes, especially the Arumanis cultivar.




REFERENCES

- [1] B. M. Nicolai *et al.*, "Time-resolved and continuous wave NIR reflectance spectroscopy to predict soluble solids content and firmness of pear," *Postharvest Biology and Technology*, vol. 47, no. 1, pp. 68–74, 2008, doi: 10.1016/j.postharvbio.2007.06.001.
- [2] S. Travers, M. G. Bertelsen, K. K. Petersen, and S. V. Kucheryavskiy, "Predicting pear (cv. Clara Frijs) dry matter and soluble solids content with near infrared spectroscopy," *Lwt*, vol. 59, no. 2P1, pp. 1107–1113, 2014, doi: 10.1016/j.lwt.2014.04.048.
- [3] X. Sun, Y. Liu, Y. Li, M. Wu, and D. Zhu, "Simultaneous measurement of brown core and soluble solids content in pear by on-line visible and near infrared spectroscopy," *Postharvest Biology and Technology*, vol. 116, pp. 80–87, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.postharvbio.2016.01.009.
- [4] C. N. Nguyen, V. L. Lam, P. H. Le, H. T. Ho, and C. N. Nguyen, "Early detection of slight bruises in apples by cost-efficient near-infrared imaging," *International Journal of Electrical and Computer Engineering*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 349–357, 2022, doi: 10.11591/ijece.v12i1.pp349-357.
- [5] A. A. Munawar, Kusumiyati, Hafidh, R. Hayati, and D. Wahyuni, "The application of near infrared technology as a rapid and non-destructive method to determine vitamin C content of intact mango fruit," *INMATEH - Agricultural Engineering*, vol. 58, no. 2, pp. 1–12, 2019.
- [6] A. A. Munawar, Zulfahrizal, H. Meilina, and E. Pawelzik, "Near infrared spectroscopy as a fast and non-destructive technique for total acidity prediction of intact mango: Comparison among regression approaches," *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, vol. 193, 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.compag.2021.106657.
- [7] D. Sahu and C. Dewangan, "Identification and classification of mango fruits using image processing," *International Journal of Scientific Research in Computer Science, Engineering and Information Technology* © 2016 IJSRCSEIT, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 203–210, 2017, [Online]. Available: www.ijsrcseit.com.
- [8] N. Vélez Rivera *et al.*, "Early detection of mechanical damage in mango using NIR hyperspectral images and machine learning," *Biosystems Engineering*, vol. 122, pp. 91–98, 2014, doi: 10.1016/j.biosystemseng.2014.03.009.
- [9] Y. A. Purwanto, H. P. Sari, and I. W. Budiastara, "Effects of preprocessing techniques in developing a calibration model for soluble solid and acidity in 'Gedong Gincu' mango using NIR spectroscopy," *International Journal of Engineering and Technology*, vol. 7, no. 5, pp. 1921–1927, 2015.
- [10] J. P. dos Santos Neto, M. W. D. de Assis, I. P. Casagrande, L. C. Cunha Júnior, and G. H. de Almeida Teixeira, "Determination of 'Palmer' mango maturity indices using portable near infrared (VIS-NIR) spectrometer," *Postharvest Biology and Technology*, vol. 130, pp. 75–80, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.postharvbio.2017.03.009.
- [11] K. B. Beć, J. Grabska, C. G. Kirchler, and C. W. Huck, "NIR spectra simulation of thymol for better understanding of the spectra forming factors, phase and concentration effects and PLS regression features," *Journal of Molecular Liquids*, vol. 268, pp. 895–902, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.molliq.2018.08.011.
- [12] S. V. Suryakala and S. Prince, "Investigation of goodness of model data fit using PLSR and PCR regression models to determine informative wavelength band in NIR region for non-invasive blood glucose prediction," *Optical and Quantum Electronics*, vol. 51, no. 8, 2019, doi: 10.1007/s11082-019-1985-7.
- [13] M. N. Uddin, T. Ferdous, Z. Islam, M. S. Jahan, and M. A. Quaiyyum, "Development of chemometric model for characterization of non-wood by FT-NIR data," *Journal of Bioresources and Bioproducts*, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 196–203, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.jobab.2020.07.005.
- [14] F. C. B. Bedin *et al.*, "NIR associated to PLS and SVM for fast and non-destructive determination of C, N, P, and K contents in poultry litter," *Spectrochimica Acta - Part A: Molecular and Biomolecular Spectroscopy*, vol. 245, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.saa.2020.118834.
- [15] S. Mayr *et al.*, "Challenging handheld NIR spectrometers with moisture analysis in plant matrices: Performance of PLSR vs. GPR vs. ANN modelling," *Spectrochimica Acta - Part A: Molecular and Biomolecular Spectroscopy*, vol. 249, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.saa.2020.119342.
- [16] S. M. Mansuri, S. K. Chakraborty, N. K. Mahanti, and R. Pandiselvam, "Effect of germ orientation during Vis-NIR hyperspectral imaging for the detection of fungal contamination in maize kernel using PLS-DA, ANN and 1D-CNN modelling," *Food Control*, vol. 139, 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.foodcont.2022.109077.
- [17] D. I. Ellis, V. L. Brewster, W. B. Dunn, J. W. Allwood, A. P. Golovanov, and R. Goodacre, "Fingerprinting food: Current technologies for the detection of food adulteration and contamination," *Chemical Society Reviews*, vol. 41, no. 17, pp. 5706–5727, 2012, doi: 10.1039/c2cs35138b.
- [18] I. Novianty, R. Gilang Baskoro, M. Iqbal Nurulhaq, and M. Achirul Nanda, "Empirical mode decomposition of near-infrared spectroscopy signals for predicting oil content in palm fruits," *Information Processing in Agriculture*, 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.inpa.2022.02.004.
- [19] H. Wang, X. Chu, P. Chen, J. Li, D. Liu, and Y. Xu, "Partial least squares regression residual extreme learning machine (PLSRR-ELM) calibration algorithm applied in fast determination of gasoline octane number with near-infrared spectroscopy," *Fuel*, vol. 309, 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.fuel.2021.122224.
- [20] Y. Guan, T. Ye, Y. Yi, H. Hua, and C. Chen, "Rapid quality evaluation of Plantaginis semen by near infrared spectroscopy combined with chemometrics," *Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis*, vol. 207, 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.jpba.2021.114435.
- [21] R. Magalhães, N. Paiva, J. M. Ferra, F. D. Magalhães, J. M. Martins, and L. H. Carvalho, "Prediction of formaldehyde and residual methanol concentration in formalin using near infrared spectroscopy," *Journal of Near Infrared Spectroscopy*, vol. 30, no. 3, pp. 160–168, 2022, doi: 10.1177/09670335221078355.
- [22] W. Lan *et al.*, "Comparison of near-infrared, mid-infrared, Raman spectroscopy and near-infrared hyperspectral imaging to determine chemical, structural and rheological properties of apple purees," *Journal of Food Engineering*, vol. 323, 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2022.111002.
- [23] C. L. Manuelian, M. Ghetti, C. De Lorenzi, M. Pozza, M. Franzoi, and M. De Marchi, "Feasibility of pocket-sized near-infrared spectrometer for the prediction of cheese quality traits," *Journal of Food Composition and Analysis*, vol. 105, 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.jfca.2021.104245.
- [24] M. Campbell, J. Ortuño, A. Koidis, and K. Theodoridou, "The use of near-infrared and mid-infrared spectroscopy to rapidly measure the nutrient composition and the in vitro rumen dry matter digestibility of brown seaweeds," *Animal Feed Science and*

- Technology*, vol. 285, 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.anifeeds.2022.115239.
- [25] B. H. Stuart, "Infrareds spectroscopy: Fundamentals and applications," *Infrared Spectroscopy: Fundamentals and Applications*, pp. 1–224, 2005, doi: 10.1002/0470011149.
- [26] I. Lobos, C. J. Moscoso, and P. Pavez, "Calibration models for the nutritional quality of fresh pastures by near-infrared reflectance spectroscopy," *Ciencia e Investigacion Agraria*, vol. 46, no. 3, pp. 234–242, 2019, doi: 10.7764/rcia.v46i3.2020.
- [27] M. S. Askari, T. McCarthy, A. Magee, and D. J. Murphy, "Evaluation of grass quality under different soil management scenarios using remote sensing techniques," *Remote Sensing*, vol. 11, no. 15, 2019, doi: 10.3390/rs11151835.
- [28] D. J. Murphy, B. O' Brien, M. O' Donovan, T. Condon, and M. D. Murphy, "A near infrared spectroscopy calibration for the prediction of fresh grass quality on Irish pastures," *Information Processing in Agriculture*, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 243–253, 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.inpa.2021.04.012.
- [29] R. A. V. Rossel and R. Webster, "Predicting soil properties from the Australian soil visible-near infrared spectroscopic database," *European Journal of Soil Science*, vol. 63, no. 6, pp. 848–860, 2012, doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2389.2012.01495.x.
- [30] R. L. Whetton, T. W. Waive, and A. M. Mouazen, "Hyperspectral measurements of yellow rust and fusarium head blight in cereal crops: Part 2: On-line field measurement," *Biosystems Engineering*, vol. 167, pp. 144–158, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.biosystemseng.2018.01.004.
- [31] M. Vohland, J. Besold, J. Hill, and H. C. Fründ, "Comparing different multivariate calibration methods for the determination of soil organic carbon pools with visible to near infrared spectroscopy," *Geoderma*, vol. 166, no. 1, pp. 198–205, 2011, doi: 10.1016/j.geoderma.2011.08.001.
- [32] S. Nawar, H. Buddenbaum, J. Hill, and J. Kozak, "Modeling and mapping of soil salinity with reflectance spectroscopy and landsat data using two quantitative methods (PLSR and MARS)," *Remote Sensing*, vol. 6, no. 11, pp. 10813–10834, 2014, doi: 10.3390/rs61110813.
- [33] V. G. K. Cardoso and R. J. Poppi, "Non-invasive identification of commercial green tea blends using NIR spectroscopy and support vector machine," *Microchemical Journal*, vol. 164, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.microc.2021.106052.

BIOGRAPHIES OF AUTHORS






Sri Agustina    is a lecturer in the Department of Agroindustry, Polytechnic of Indonesia Venezuela, Aceh, Indonesia. She holds a master's degree in agricultural and food machinery engineering from IPB University, Indonesia. Her research field is postharvest and nondestructive technology. She can be contacted at email: sriagustina34@yahoo.com.



Ramayanty Bulan    is an Associate Professor in the Department of Agricultural Engineering, Faculty of Agriculture, Syiah Kuala University, Banda Aceh, Indonesia. She obtained a Doctoral in Agriculture Engineering from IPB University, Bogor, Indonesia. Her research field is in agriculture machinery design, especially in pre-harvest machinery. She can be contacted at email: ramayantybulan@gmail.com.



Agustami Sitorus    is a Junior Researcher in the Research center for Appropriate Technology at the National Research and Innovation Agency (BRIN), Indonesia. He obtained a Master of Sciences in agricultural and food machinery engineering from IPB University. Now, he is also a doctoral student in food and agricultural intelligence engineering at King Mongkut's Institute of Technology Ladkrabang (KMUTL), Bangkok, Thailand. His expertise is in agriculture engineering, especially in the field of simulation using FEM and CFD, modern design of agricultural machinery, and the use of IoT in agriculture. He can be contacted at email: aguslipi122@gmail.com.